§ 141.721

(d) Ultraviolet light. Systems receive Cryptosporidium, Giardia lamblia, and virus treatment credits for ultraviolet (UV) light reactors by achieving the corresponding UV dose values shown in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. Systems must validate and monitor UV reactors as described in paragraphs (d)(2) and (3) of this section to demonstrate that they are achieving a particular UV dose value for treatment credit.

(1) $UV\ dose\ table.$ The treatment credits listed in this table are for UV light

at a wavelength of 254 nm as produced by a low pressure mercury vapor lamp. To receive treatment credit for other lamp types, systems must demonstrate an equivalent germicidal dose through reactor validation testing, as described in paragraph (d)(2) of this section. The UV dose values in this table are applicable only to post-filter applications of UV in filtered systems and to unfiltered systems.

UV DOSE TABLE FOR Cryptosporidium, Giardia lamblia, AND VIRUS INACTIVATION CREDIT

| Log credit | Cryptosporidium | Giardia lamblia | Virus |
|--|---|---|---|
| | UV dose (mJ/cm²) | UV dose (mJ/cm²) | UV dose (mJ/cm²) |
| (i) 0.5 (ii) 1.0 (iii) 1.5 (iv) 2.0 (v) 2.5 (vi) 3.0 (vii) 3.5 (viii) 4.0 | 1.6 2.5 3.9 5.8 8.5 12 15 | 1.5 2.1 3.0 5.2 7.7 11 15 22 | 39 58 79 100 121 143 163 186 |

- (2) Reactor validation testing. Systems must use UV reactors that have undergone validation testing to determine the operating conditions under which the reactor delivers the UV dose required in paragraph (d)(1) of this section (i.e., validated operating conditions). These operating conditions must include flow rate, UV intensity as measured by a UV sensor, and UV lamp status.
- (i) When determining validated operating conditions, systems must account for the following factors: UV absorbance of the water; lamp fouling and aging; measurement uncertainty of online sensors; UV dose distributions arising from the velocity profiles through the reactor; failure of UV lamps or other critical system components; and inlet and outlet piping or channel configurations of the UV reactor.
- (ii) Validation testing must include the following: Full scale testing of a reactor that conforms uniformly to the UV reactors used by the system and inactivation of a test microorganism whose dose response characteristics have been quantified with a low pressure mercury vapor lamp.
- (iii) The State may approve an alternative approach to validation testing.

- (3) Reactor monitoring. (i) Systems must monitor their UV reactors to determine if the reactors are operating within validated conditions, as determined under paragraph (d)(2) of this section. This monitoring must include UV intensity as measured by a UV sensor, flow rate, lamp status, and other parameters the State designates based on UV reactor operation. Systems must verify the calibration of UV sensors and must recalibrate sensors in accordance with a protocol the State approves.
- (ii) To receive treatment credit for UV light, systems must treat at least 95 percent of the water delivered to the public during each month by UV reactors operating within validated conditions for the required UV dose, as described in paragraphs (d)(1) and (2) of this section. Systems must demonstrate compliance with this condition by the monitoring required under paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section.

REPORTING AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

§141.721 Reporting requirements.

(a) Systems must report sampling schedules under §141.702 and source water monitoring results under §141.706

Environmental Protection Agency

unless they notify the State that they will not conduct source water monitoring due to meeting the criteria of §141.701(d).

- (b) Systems must report the use of uncovered finished water storage facilities to the State as described in §141.714.
- (c) Filtered systems must report their *Cryptosporidium* bin classification as described in §141.710.
- (d) Unfiltered systems must report their mean source water Cryptosporidium level as described in §141.712.
- (e) Systems must report disinfection profiles and benchmarks to the State as described in §§141.708 through 141.709 prior to making a significant change in disinfection practice.
- (f) Systems must report to the State in accordance with the following table for any microbial toolbox options used to comply with treatment requirements under §141.711 or §141.712. Alternatively, the State may approve a system to certify operation within required parameters for treatment credit rather than reporting monthly operational data for toolbox options.

MICROBIAL TOOLBOX REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

| Toolbox option | Systems must submit the following information | On the following schedule |
|---|---|--|
| (1) Watershed control program (WCP). | Notice of intention to develop a new or continue an existing watershed control program. Watershed control plan | No later than two years before the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713 No later than one year before the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713. |
| | (iii) Annual watershed control program status report. | Every 12 months, beginning one year after the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| | (iv) Watershed sanitary survey report | For community water systems, every three years beginning three years after the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713. For noncommunity water systems, every five years beginning five years after the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713. |
| (2) Alternative source/intake management. | Verification that system has relocated the intake or adopted the intake withdrawal procedure reflected in monitoring results. | No later than the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| (3) Presedimentation | Monthly verification of the following: (i) Continuous basin operation (ii) Treat- ment of 100% of the flow (iii) Continuous addition of a coagulant (iv) At least 0.5- log mean reduction of influent turbidity or compliance with alternative State-ap- proved performance criteria. | Monthly reporting within 10 days following the month in which the monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713. |
| (4) Two-stage lime softening | Monthly verification of the following: (i) Chemical addition and hardness precipitation occurred in two separate and sequential softening stages prior to filtration (ii) Both stages treated 100% of the plant flow. | Monthly reporting within 10 days following the month in which the monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| (5) Bank filtration | (i) Initial demonstration of the following: (A) Unconsolidated, predominantly sandy aquifer (B) Setback distance of at least 25 ft. (0.5-log credit) or 50 ft. (1.0-log credit). | No later than the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| | (ii) If monthly average of daily max turbidity is greater than 1 NTU then system must report result and submit an assessment of the cause | Report within 30 days following the month in which the monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| (6) Combined filter performance | Monthly verification of combined filter efflu- ent (CFE) turbidity levels less than or equal to 0.15 NTU in at least 95 percent of the 4 hour CFE measurements taken each month. | Monthly reporting within 10 days following the month in which the monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-12 Edition)

MICROBIAL TOOLBOX REPORTING REQUIREMENTS—Continued

| Toolbox option | Systems must submit the following information | On the following schedule |
|--|---|--|
| (7) Individual filter performance | Monthly verification of the following: (i) Individual filter effluent (IFE) turbidity levels less than or equal to 0.15 NTU in at least 95 percent of samples each month in each filter (ii) No individual filter greater than 0.3 NTU in two consecutive | Monthly reporting within 10 days following the month in which the monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713.] |
| (8) Demonstration of performance | readings 15 minutes apart. (i) Results from testing following a State approved protocol. (ii) As required by the State, monthly verification of operation within conditions of State approval for demonstration of | No later than the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compli- |
| (9) Bag filters and cartridge filters | performance credit. (i) Demonstration that the following criteria are met: (A) Process meets the definition of bag or cartridge filtration; (B) Removal efficiency established through challenge testing that meets criteria in this subpart. | ance date in § 141.713. No later than the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| | (ii) Monthly verification that 100% of plant flow was filtered. | Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, begin- ning on the applicable treatment compli- ance date in § 141.713. |
| (10) Membrane filtration | (i) Results of verification testing demonstrating the following: (A) Removal efficiency established through challenge testing that meets criteria in this subpart; (B) Integrity test method and parameters, including resolution, sensitivity, test frequency, control limits, and associated baseline. | No later than the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| | (ii) Monthly report summarizing the following: (A) All direct integrity tests above the control limit; (B) If applicable, any turbidity or alternative state-approved indirect integrity monitoring results triggering direct integrity testing and the corrective action that was taken. | Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713. |
| (11) Second stage filtration | Monthly verification that 100% of flow was filtered through both stages and that first stage was preceded by coagulation step. | Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| (12) Slow sand filtration (as secondary filter). | Monthly verification that both a slow sand filter and a preceding separate stage of filtration treated 100% of flow from subpart H sources. | Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| (13) Chlorine dioxide | Summary of CT values for each day as described in §141.720 | Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |
| (14) Ozone | Summary of CT values for each day as described in §141.720 | Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in §141.713. |
| (15) UV | (i) Validation test results demonstrating operating conditions that achieve required UV dose. (ii) Monthly report summarizing the percentage of water entering the distribution system that was not treated by UV reactors operating within validated conditions for the required dose as specified in 141.720(d) | No later than the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. Within 10 days following the month in which monitoring was conducted, beginning on the applicable treatment compliance date in § 141.713. |

$\$\,141.722 \quad Record keeping\ requirements.$

(a) Systems must keep results from the initial round of source water moni-

toring under §141.701(a) and the second round of source water monitoring under §141.701(b) until 3 years after bin